

Distance Learning Survey Spring 2006

Your instructor is:

Response	Frequency	Percent
Anderson, James	0	0.0%
Armstrong, Tony	8	1.6%
Bolze, Wanda	3	0.6%
Borders, Gayle	12	2.3%
Boswell, Lisa	19	3.7%
Bradford, Kevin	0	0.0%
Bridgman, Pam	8	1.6%
Burlew, Jon	4	0.8%
Burnett, Kippe	0	0.0%
Byrd, Cindy	34	6.6%
Dawson, Arthur	6	1.2%
Eastham, Donna	71	13.8%
Fredericks, Jon	12	2.3%
Gadd, Belinda	10	1.9%
Hassan, Saadi	0	0.0%
Hatcher, Cynthia	30	5.8%
House, Debra	11	2.1%
Jones, Belinda	36	7.0%
Kilgore, April	0	0.0%
Kincaid, Rod	27	5.3%
King, Debra	19	3.7%
Logan, Donna	0	0.0%
George Martinez	32	6.2%
Jim McFeeters	0	0.0%
John McGriff	10	1.9%
Mitchell, Steve	14	2.7%
Roger Osborne	24	4.7%
Glenda Patton	9	1.8%
Perkins, Jeff	0	0.0%
Brenda Saunders	1	0.2%
Barbara Stringer	27	5.3%
Terry, Dan	15	2.9%
Webb, Richard	18	3.5%
Worley, Kim	5	1.0%
Vito, Gloria and Hargis, Dennis	13	2.5%
Vito, Gloria	36	7.0%

Your class is:

Response	Frequency	Percent
ADFT 130	18	3.5%
AHS 100	13	2.5%
AHS 109	36	7.0%
AHS 115-E001	0	0.0%
BA 267	19	3.7%
BA 282	3	0.6%
BIO 120-E0Z1	0	0.0%
CIS 100-E005	12	2.3%
CIS 100-E007	1	0.2%
CIS 130-E002	0	0.0%
CJ 101-E0Z1	1	0.2%
CJ 202	26	5.1%
CJ 217-E0Z1	0	0.0%
COM 181	4	0.8%
CUL 280-E0Z1	4	0.8%
ECON 201-E002	1	0.2%
ECON 202-E0Z1	0	0.0%
ED 201	19	3.7%
EDP 202	17	3.3%
ENG 101-E0Z1	0	0.0%
ENG 161	12	2.3%
ENG 203-E0Z1	0	0.0%
ENG 251-E0Z1	0	0.0%
FAM 252	19	3.7%
HIS 109	34	6.6%
HM 100	8	1.6%
HUM 204	10	1.9%
IECE 101	22	4.3%
IECE 235	10	1.9%
IECE 240	9	1.8%
IECE 246	19	3.7%
IECE 260	20	3.9%
MA 113	8	1.6%
MA 114	6	1.2%
MT 110	34	6.6%
MT 155	24	4.7%
NFS 101	30	5.8%
NIS 230-E5Z1	0	0.0%
OST 220-E1Z1	0	0.0%
OST 235-E1Z1	0	0.0%

Response	Frequency	Percent
PHY 213	11	2.1%
PS 101	18	3.5%
PSY 223	32	6.2%
PY 110	14	2.7%
SOC 101	0	0.0%

Your class is delivered in which of the following formats?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Interactive Video	467	91.0%
KET Telecourse	27	5.3%
Internet Offering	19	3.7%
SCC Video	0	0.0%

How many distance learning courses have you taken?

Response	Frequency	Percent
This is my first course.	229	44.7%
1	36	7.0%
2	103	20.1%
3	52	10.2%
4	35	6.8%
5 or more	57	11.1%

The course materials (lessons, tapes, television sessions, software, syllabus) were sent to you in a timely and consistent manner.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	405	78.9%
Sometimes	96	18.7%
Seldom	8	1.6%
Never	4	0.8%

Did you need assistance with the technology required for the course?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	18	3.5%
Sometimes	99	19.4%
Seldom	83	16.2%
Never	311	60.9%

Was the course available on your campus?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes	433	84.9%
No	77	15.1%

Were you challenged to think critically about the material?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	286	55.9%
Sometimes	195	38.1%
Seldom	17	3.3%
Never	14	2.7%

The quality of the course materials sent (lessons, tests, televised sessions, etc.) was high.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	359	70.7%
Sometimes	127	25.0%
Seldom	15	3.0%
Never	7	1.4%

The support services (library, labs, research information) required by this class were available.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	412	81.1%
Sometimes	81	15.9%
Seldom	9	1.8%
Never	6	1.2%

Considering your motivation and preparation as a student, the level of difficulty for this class was:

Response	Frequency	Percent
Too difficult	42	8.2%
Difficult but reasonable	255	49.8%
About right	215	42.0%
Too easy	0	0.0%

What overall rating would you give this class?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Excellent	259	50.7%
Good	192	37.6%
Fair	41	8.0%
Poor	19	3.7%

Were there instructor-generated materials for this course?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes	476	93.0%
No	36	7.0%

If the answer to the previous question was Yes, how would you rate those instructor-generated materials?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Very helpful	317	64.7%
Somewhat helpful	134	27.3%
Not helpful	10	2.0%
Confusing	15	3.1%
Not applicable	14	2.9%

Was the instructor interested in helping you to understand the material? (In KET, SCC video or Internet Lab courses, was a SCC instructor helpful?)

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	400	78.4%
Sometimes	84	16.5%
Seldom	20	3.9%
Never	6	1.2%

The SCC instructor had a clear understanding of the subject material.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	433	84.4%
Sometimes	67	13.1%
Seldom	9	1.8%
Never	4	0.8%

The test or assignment related to the material covered.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	404	78.9%
Sometimes	100	19.5%
Seldom	7	1.4%
Never	1	0.2%

The syllabus and course objectives were clear.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	413	80.5%
Sometimes	91	17.7%
Seldom	5	1.0%
Never	4	0.8%

The instructor was prompt in answering questions.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	425	83.0%
Sometimes	72	14.1%
Seldom	13	2.5%
Never	2	0.4%

I was given a reasonable amount of time to do the assigned work.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	447	87.1%
Sometimes	61	11.9%
Seldom	4	0.8%
Never	1	0.2%

The instructor was able to answer questions regarding the technology required for the course.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	358	69.6%
Sometimes	121	23.5%
Seldom	13	2.5%
Never	1	0.2%
Not applicable	21	4.1%

Materials (tests and papers) were returned in a reasonable amount of time.

Response	Frequency	Percent
Always	380	74.2%
Sometimes	103	20.1%
Seldom	19	3.7%
Never	9	1.8%
Not applicable	1	0.2%

Please indicate approximately how many times you communicated with your instructor?

Response	Frequency	Percent
0-5	223	43.4%
6-10	135	26.3%
10-20	62	12.1%
20 or more	94	18.3%

How would you rate these contacts?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Excellent	288	56.5%
Good	157	30.8%
Fair	31	6.1%
Poor	4	0.8%
Not Applicable	30	5.9%

After taking this course, would you take another course in this format?

Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes	437	85.7%
No	73	14.3%